

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/529,032 04/05/2000 SAMUEL C. RAMEY 5588-66325 1710 EXAMINER 32692 7590 11/10/2003 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY WALSH, DANIEL I PO BOX 33427 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427 2876

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/529,032	RAMEY ET AL.	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Daniel I Walsh	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	corresp nd nce add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and indicate application and application application and application and application application and application application and application applicati	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	ount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · ———		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	reconsideration has been consi e continuation sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∏ approved or b)∏ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>	,
10.⊠ Other: <u>see note</u>	.—	Rph	
	P	THEELN M. LE	ER

## Continuation Sh et (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Re item 5: The Examiner notes that the cam 7 is coupled to the body and button, is a mechanism for ejection, and is a one-piece monolithic cam, thus meeting the definition of a monolithic ejector mechanism, therefore teaching the claimed limitations.

Re item 10: Claims 1-29 remain rejected as set forth in the Final Office Action, paper no. 11